

February 20, 1974

To: The Law School Community  
From: The Curriculum Committee  
Re: Progress/Request for Input

The Committee discussing the curriculum has, in 2½ meetings, identified a number of topics for further investigation and discussion. These topics and the order in which we plan to discuss them, are listed below. In order to ensure that we have not overlooked any major areas of interest or misread their relative importance, we ask students with other opinions to please indicate, in the parentheses preceeding each topic, your interest in that topic by:

H	----	high priority and/or interest
M	----	medium " " " "
L	----	low " " " "

These topics, as they are further investigated by this committee, will be viewed in two lights:

- 1) To provide greater information to the law students about planned action, faculty ideas, etc. re that topic,
- 2) To provide student opinion on a particular topic that will either aid or initiate faculty action.

The current faculty opinion on some topics is in the parentheses following that topic.

Further information and/or explanation of any topic is available from the committee members listed at the end of this report.

IF YOU WISH YOUR OPINION TO BE CONSIDERED, PLEASE RETURN THIS TO CARREL #36 PRIOR TO 10:30' AM. FRIDAY 22 FEBRUARY. THANKS.

- ( ) 2nd and 3rd Year Curriculum and Courses, including, but not limited to workloads, independent reasearch, clinical programs, etc. (Faculty: Plans are pretty well locked in, major changes unlikely)
- ( ) Law Review (Faculty: Because of other demands, unlikely to initiate anything for several years; will aid student initiative.

H-111111  
M  
L-11  
O-110  
  
H-11  
M-11  
L-1111  
O-1



14 March 1974

TO: Dean David Hood

FROM: Programs Workshop/Curriculum Committee

RE: Request for status information, 2nd & 3rd year programs

The item that was identified as highest priority for our group discussion was, generally, the plans for second and third year courses/programs. In our request for comments from other students, this item also received high priority. Our group has decided to defer discussion on this item until we have an accurate picture of what the current plans are.

A combination of your earlier oral presentation to the law community and your testimony to the House higher education committee, updated, would probably go a long way in answering many questions of our group and provide a good starting point for future discussion.

Can information of this nature be prepared by your office, or could we obtain it adequately from you in some future committee interview or question and answer session? We are open, of course, to other means of communication that you may suggest.

Our group will meet Friday at 10:30 and if your time permits, could I see you briefly so that I can report to the committee?

*Bob Schmitt*  
Bob Schmitt