

April 26, 1974

To: Law School Personnel

F

From: Student Steering Committee (Durant, Higa, Nagata, Mohr,  
Quindara, Waihee, Winegar)

Re: Plan for remainder of Comparative Decision-Making Processes Course

\*At his 12:30 meeting with students on Monday, April 22, Dean Hood outlined a procedure for the handling of the students' demand that Prof. Hopkins's association with the Decision-Making course be terminated immediately. Dean Hood specified the following procedure:

1. Students should exhaust all possible administrative remedies with those professors in charge of the course.
1. 2. In the event that a solution satisfactory both to the professors and the students was not reached, the problem should then be appealed to the faculty as a whole for resolution.
3. In the event that a solution satisfactory to the faculty and the students was not reached, then the problem should be appealed to the Dean of the Law School for resolution.

\*\*

\*\*The students' demand is for the termination of all Prof. Hopkins's association with the course, including:

- a. in class appearances
- b. making up of the final exam
- c. grading of the final exam

The plan for the remainder of the course proffered by Professors Miller and Hopkins states that:

- a. "Class sessions will be jointly taught by us."
- b. "The final examination will be prepared by us jointly."
- c. "It the exam will be graded jointly."

The solution proposed by Miller and Hopkins does not satisfactorily resolve the students' demand. Therefore, we hereby appeal their decision to the faculty as a whole, thereby taking the next step in problem-solving procedure set up by Dean Hood.

\*\*\*With full awareness of and empathy for the many commitments already taxing the faculty's time, we nevertheless urge a speedy consideration and resolution of this matter.